UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,599	03/19/2004	Zoltan Egeresi	9544		
ZOLTAN EGE	7590 05/02/2007	EXAMINER			
5500 COAST RD			NGUYEN, TUAN N		
SANTA CRUZ	L, CA 95060		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		
	`	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•				A	P			
Office Action Summary		Application No		Applicant(s)				
		10/804,599		EGERESI, ZOLTAN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tuan N. Nguye		3751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory m d will apply and will expire te, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timi inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this of	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/9/	<u>′07</u> .	•		•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-fin	al.		•.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Irawn from consid						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🛛 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		examiner. Note th	e attached Oπice .	Action or form P1	O-152.			
	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		S. I. O. O. O. 440(-)	(1) (5)				
a)[* S 13)⊠ A si 3; a 14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Cee the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest not a specific reference was included in the first common of the foreign language processors. The translation of the foreign language processors was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the first s	nts have been reconts have been reconts have been reconty documents hau (PCT Rule 17) of the certified contic priority under street sentence of the rovisional applicants of the priority under street sentence of the rovisional applicants of the priority under street.	eived. eived in Application ave been received 2(a)). copies not received 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) a specification or tion has been received 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	on Nod in this National d. (to a provisional in an Application eived.	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:					

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment papers filed 2/27/07, 7/14/06, and 4/20/06 were not compliant with the current amendment practice; Therefore, those papers will not be entered and the examination is based on the original disclosure filed 3/19/04.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election of Invention I: claim 1 in the reply filed on 4/9/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Accordingly, claims 2 and 3, which directed to the non-elected invention are withdrawn from further consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "(13)" on line 3 of page 6 and "(5)" on line 4 of page 6. Corrected drawing

Art Unit: 3751

sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the implied phrase "The objection of this invention is create an inexpensive toilet" on line 1 should be avoided, it is recommended to change it to --A toilet--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,599

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Objections/Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 4

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
- 8. The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. A few problems are as follow: the language "low cost" in line 1 neither has prove or structure so should be avoided in the claim; "bubble dispenser/bidet adapter" in line 3 should be --bubble dispenser and bidet adapter--; "low volume" in line 4 should be --low water volume--; "water in-line" in line 4 should be --a water in-line--; "male/female" in line 5 should be --a male or a female--; "the toilet tank" in line 6 should be --a toilet tank---; it is unclear as to what "it" in line 6 is referring to; it is unclear as to what "means of threaded capping screws" in 8 is trying to claim; etc. The claims should be carefully reviewed and revised such that each of the claimed elements have a proper antecedent basis and the necessary structural relationships between the elements are properly defined.
- 9. As best understood, claim 1 is free of prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,599 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Burns, Funk, Haddon, Kuhlman, Burmeister, and Von are the references listed by the applicant in the specification. Cannizzaro and Fukuda disclose other sanitary devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TUAN NGLYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER